

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)
(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

To:

ROCKE, Carsten
Müller-Boré & Partner
Grafinger Strasse 2
81671 München
ALLEMAGNE

Eingegangen

26. JULI 2006

Müller - Boré & Partner
Frist:

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 July 2006 (20.07.2006)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference R 1910-Ro/al	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/EP2004/009227	International filing date (day/month/year) 17 August 2004 (17.08.2004)
Applicant RODENSTOCK GMBH et al	

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY
PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference R 1910-Ro/a!	FOR FURTHER ACTION	
	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/009227	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 17 August 2004 (17.08.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 29 August 2003 (29.08.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant RODENSTOCK GMBH		

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. 																
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td style="width: 85%;">Box No. I Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td>Box No. II Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td>Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td>Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td>Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td>Box No. VI Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td>Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding-bottom: 5px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td>Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table> 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2). 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the report															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application															
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application															

<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70</p>	<p>Date of issuance of this report 10 July 2006 (10.07.2006)</p> <p>Authorized officer Ellen Moyse e-mail: pt05@wipo.int</p>
--	---

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference R 1910-Ro/a1		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/EP2004/009227	International filing date (day/month/year) 17.08.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.08.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant RODENSTOCK GMBH		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

Translation

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/009227

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

in written format
 in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/009227

Box No. V **Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-8	YES
	Claims	9-13	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-8	YES
	Claims	9-13	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

- 1 The method of claim 1 differs from conventional methods in that what is used as an optical effect in the remote reference point is not the required correction for distant vision but rather a value reduced by the magnitude of 0.03 - 0.2 dpt. This is not suggested to a person skilled in the art since an artificial hypermetropia is thus induced in the remote reference point, which is usually avoided owing to the associated accommodation effort even when looking at great distances away.
The subject matter of claims 1-8 can therefore be regarded as novel and inventive within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2) and (3).
- 2 The subject matter of claims 9-13 is anticipated by any known progressive lens, however, in particular the lenses according to documents D1 and D2 cited in the application (see the international search report). All references to the order value (that is to say the prescribed distance portion effect) or the order addition and the deviations therefrom are non-restrictive since the order value is unknown. Consequently, claims 9-12 do not contain any

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/009227

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
-----------	--

restriction other than that a progressive lens is involved.

Claim 13 defines that the size of the distance portion is reduced. Apart from the unclarified question as to how the size of the distance portion is defined, all that is specified by this definition is that a glass without refraction errors has a larger distance portion than one with refraction errors, but the size of the distance portion is not specified in concrete terms thereby. Consequently, claim 13 does not contain any further restrictive features either.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 9-13 cannot be regarded as novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).